Diviziniuk M.M., D.Sc., Professor, Farrakhov O.V., Cand.Sc. Pylypchuk Ie.V., Cand.Sc. Kovalenko O.M., junior researcher

Center for Information-analytical and Technical Support of Nuclear Power Facilities Monitoring of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine

## MATHEMATICAL REGULATIONS OF THE RADIOLOCATION DETECTION PROCESS OF AERIAL TARGETS

The optimal detection of electromagnetic signals is based on exceeding the level (magnitude or intensity) of the received useful signal  $I_{sig}$  above the obstacle level  $I_{in}$  that act on the input of the receiving device [1-4]. Therefore the following condition should be fulfilled:

$$I_{sig} \ge \delta \cdot I_{in} \tag{1}$$

where  $\delta$  - recognition coefficient, a dimensionless quantity determined as a result of signal processing in the receiving device of a radar station (RAL).

Electromagnetic wave intensity decreases during propagating in space due to the wave front expansion and volume attenuation. Its value is determined by the volume attenuation coefficient  $\beta$ . This coefficient value depends on the frequency of electromagnetic oscillations propagating in space and is determined empirically.

The reflected electromagnetic wave propagating in the reverse direction will be attenuated by wavefront broadening and bulk attenuation. The value of its intensity will decrease in proportion to the square of the current distance, expressed in meters  $(4\pi D)^2$  and in km  $10^{-0.1 \cdot \beta D km}$ .

Taking into account the above the intensity of reflected electromagnetic wave from the target will take the following form:

$$\frac{P_{i} \cdot K_{str} \cdot 2\pi \cdot R_{e}^{2}}{\left(4\pi D\right)^{4}} \cdot 10^{-0,2 \cdot \beta \cdot D_{K}} \ge \delta \cdot \frac{P_{rec}}{Kstr} .$$
(2)

After transformations we finally get:

$$20 \cdot \lg D + \beta D_{KM} + K \leq \leq \frac{1}{2} \Big( 10 \cdot \lg \delta + 10 \cdot \lg P_{rec} - 20 \cdot \lg K_{str} - 10 \cdot \lg P_i - 20 \cdot \lg R_e \Big),$$
<sup>(3)</sup>

where,  $K \approx 10,98 \, \text{dB}$ .

Expression (3) is commonly called a non-strict inequality of the radar range. There are six terms in its right part expressing values of the main technical characteristics of the radar in decibel form: recognition coefficient  $\delta$ , sensitivity of the receiving device  $P_{rec}$ , amplifying coefficient of the radar antenna -  $K_{str}$ , radiation power  $P_i$  and main parameter of the irradiated radar target - radius of the equivalent reflecting surface  $R_e$ .

## References

1. Azarenko O.V., Borodina N.A., Divizinyuk M.M., Kasatkina N.V., Lazarenko S.V., Rybka E.O. Mathematical model for detecting emergency situations of a terrorist nature using images of people located near critical infrastructure facilities. International scientific and technical journal "Measuring and computing equipment in technological processes". Khmelnytskyi. Khmelnytsky National University, No. 3 (59). 2017. P.141-145.

2. Azarenko O.V., Borodina N.A., Goncharenko Y.Y., Kasatkina N.V., Lazarenko S.V., Rybka E.O. Development of a mathematical model of radar detection and identification of people and other dangerous targets on the approaches to protected critical infrastructure facilities in standard conditions. International Scientific and Technical Journal "Measuring and Computing Technology in Technological Processes". Khmelnytskyi. Khmelnytsky National University. № 4 (60). 2017. P.161-165.

3. Goncharenko Y.Y., Konovalenko N.V., Kamyshentsev G.V., Lazarenko S.V. Methods for assessing the effectiveness of radar search for dangerous targets. International Scientific and Technical Journal "Measuring and Computing Technology in Technological Processes". Khmelnytskyi. Khmelnytsky National University. № 1(57). 2017. P.132-135.

4. Azarenko O.V., Goncharenko Y.Y., Divizinyuk M.M., Zemlyansky O.M., Farrakhov O.V. Characterization of the energy potential of radar stations that ensure the safety of critical infrastructure and the reflection properties of small air targets. Scientific Collection «InterConf+», (46(205)). 2024. P.549-561. <u>https://doi.org/10.51582/interconf.19-20.06.2024.052</u>.